

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES BEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatest and Tradestark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcondins, Vignus 22515-4452

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,685	03/04/2002	Altın II. Anderson	7707.0021-00	2825
75	60/21/2004		EXAM	INER
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow.			FISCHER, JUSTIN II.	
Garrett & Dunn	er, L.L.P.			
1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington D	C 20005 2215			

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/086,885	ANDERSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin R Fischer	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet i	with the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT. Editentions of firm may be available under the provisions of 37 after 80 (%) MONTHS from the making date of this commonly of the provisions of 18 agents of the propriet debtors in less than thiny (20) day. If NO period for reply is appecified above, the maximum statution, and the provision of the provision	CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory maximum of th reprint will apply and will aspire SIX (6) MC visibility, cause the accilication to become a	ifty (30) days will be considered timely. NYHS from the making date of this communication, SANDONED GIS U.S.C. 5 (33)	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed or 	04 March 2002		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐	This action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for a 	illowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are; a) ___ accepted or b) ___ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyence. See 37 CFR 1.85(s). Resistence flowing sheekly in holding the correction is required if the drawing of its oblected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ Ali b) ☐ Some * ci ☐ None of:

a) All b) Some c) None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

ttachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Osciosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) Paper No(s)/field Oute	4) Inferview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(c)Mall Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/086,685

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121;
 - Claims 1-33, 48-56, and 58, drawn to a filament winding apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 425.
 - Claims 34-38, drawn to an articulating winding head, classified in class 156, subclass 425.
 - III. Claims 39-47, drawn to a filament winding method, classified in class 156, subclass 169.
 - Claim 57, drawn to a computer readable medium, classified in class 711, subclass 100.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus of group I (combination) generically requires a winding head (e.g. no description of a plurality of spaced apart winding eyes, a frame having a plurality of rods, or a roller). The subcombination has separate utility such as an apparatus in which no controller is

Art Unit: 1733

Application/Control Number: 10/086,685

required or one in which the structure or mandrel being covered is movable as opposed to the fiber application system being movable.

- Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The 3. inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially
- different apparatus, for example one in which a controller was not present. Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination only generically requires a controller for applying the fiber bundle. The subcombination has separate utility such as in an apparatus in which the winding assembly is stationary and the mandrel is longitudinally displaced.
 - Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions 5. in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806,05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Art Unit: 1733

particulars of the subcombination as daimed because the method claims only require a winding eye- there is no disclosure as to the particular construction of this component.

The subcombination has separate utility such as in a method in which the winding assembly is stationary and the mandrel is longitudinally displaced.

- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in a filament winding method that is not controlled by a computer system ("controller"). See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Inventions III and IV are related as independent inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. In this instance, Invention I is directed to a filament winding method in which a fiber bundle is conveyed through a winding eye and applied to a mandrel. Invention II, on the other hand, is directed to a computer readable medium containing instructions for applying a fiber bundle to a mandrel. It is clearly evident that invention III does not require the fiber application process to be controlled by a computer system and also, the computer readable medium of invention IV can be used in a different filament winding method, for example one in which the mandrel is longitudinally displaced and the winding assembly is stationary. As such, the above noted inventions are patentably distinct and restriction is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,685 Art Unit: 1733

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. A telephone call was made to D. Brian Kacedon on February 10, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin R Fischer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.usplo.gov. Should Art Unit: 1733

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Justin Fischer
Justin Fischer
February 11, 2004

...., .., ...

JEFF H. AFTEROUT PREMARY EXAMELER GROUP 1000